

Chief Executive: John Mitchell

Scrutiny

Date:Tuesday, 24 March 2015Time:19:30Venue:Committee RoomAddress:Council Offices, London Road, Saffron Walden, CB11 4ER

Members: Councillors G Barker, P Davies, I Evans, E Godwin (Chairman), S Harris, S Howell, D Morson, E Oliver, J Rich and D Watson.

Public Speaking

At the start of the meeting there will be an opportunity of up to 15 minutes for members of the public to ask questions and make statements subject to having given two working days prior notice.

AGENDA

PART 1

Open to Public and Press

- 1 Apologies for absence and declarations of interest.
- 2 Minutes of the meeting held on 10 February 2015

5 - 16

- 3 Matters arising.
- 4 Consideration of any matter referred to the Committee in relation to call in of a decision
- 5 Responses of the Executive to reports of the Committee (standing item)
- 6 Cabinet Forward Plan

| 7 | Scrutiny Work Programme | 21 - 22 |
|----|---|---------|
| 8 | Local Plan Review (verbal update) | |
| 9 | Scrutiny Committee 2014-15 Review | 23 - 26 |
| 10 | Any other items which the Chairman considers to be urgent | |

Page 2

MEETINGS AND THE PUBLIC

Members of the public are welcome to attend any of the Council's Cabinet or Committee meetings and listen to the debate. All agendas, reports and minutes can be viewed on the Council's website <u>www.uttlesford.gov.uk</u>. For background papers in relation to this meeting please contact <u>committee@uttlesford.gov.uk</u> or phone 01799 510430/433

Members of the public and representatives of parish and town councils are permitted to speak or ask questions at any of these meetings. You will need to register with the Democratic Services Officer by midday two working days before the meeting.

The agenda is split into two parts. Most of the business is dealt with in Part 1 which is open to the public. Part II includes items which may be discussed in the absence of the press or public, as they deal with information which is personal or sensitive for some other reason. You will be asked to leave the meeting before Part II items are discussed.

Agenda and Minutes are available in alternative formats and/or languages. For more information please call 01799 510510.

Facilities for people with disabilities

The Council Offices has facilities for wheelchair users, including lifts and toilets. The Council Chamber has an induction loop so that those who have hearing difficulties can hear the debate.

If you are deaf or have impaired hearing and would like a signer available at a meeting, please contact <u>committee@uttlesford.gov.uk</u> or phone 01799 510430/433 as soon as possible prior to the meeting.

Fire/emergency evacuation procedure

If the fire alarm sounds continuously, or if you are instructed to do so, you must leave the building by the nearest designated fire exit. You will be directed to the nearest exit by a designated officer. It is vital you follow their instructions.

For information about this meeting please contact Democratic Services Telephone: 01799 510433, 510369 or 510548 Email: <u>Committee@uttlesford.gov.uk</u>

> General Enquiries Council Offices, London Road, Saffron Walden, CB11 4ER Telephone: 01799 510510 Fax: 01799 510550 Email: <u>uconnect@uttlesford.gov.uk</u> Website: <u>www.uttlesford.gov.uk</u>

SCRUTINY COMMITTEE held at COUNCIL OFFICES LONDON ROAD SAFFRON WALDEN at 7.30pm on 10 FEBRUARY 2015

- Present: Councillor Godwin (Chairman) Councillors G Barker, P Davies, I Evans, S Howell, D Morson, E Oliver, J Rich and D Watson.
- Also Present: Councillors H Rolfe (Leader), R Chambers (Portfolio Holder Finance) and J Redfern (Portfolio Holder – Housing).
- Officers in attendance: A Knight (Assistant Director Finance), J Mitchell (Chief Executive), A Rees (Democratic and Electoral Services Officer), V Taylor (Business Improvement and Performance Officer), M Tokley (Principal Accountant) and A Webb (Director of Finance and Corporate Services).

SC43 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE AND DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

No apologies for absence were received.

Councillor Godwin declared a non-pecuniary interest in relation to Cranwellian, as she had been a member of the Planning Committee when one of the applications was considered (although was away on holiday at the time); and had been present at a meeting of Planning Committee last year when the matter was discussed.

SC44 MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING

The Chairman signed the minutes as a correct record, subject to the amendment of Minute SC35 regarding Cranwellian to reflect that it was not Councillor Oliver who had a matter going forward to the Ombudsman, but a member of his ward.

SC45 MATTERS ARISING

(i) Minute SC35 – Cranwellian

The Director of Finance and Corporate Services said a third party had been appointed to conduct water testing. Dr Johnson had been contacted to arrange a suitable date. The Council had now written to Peter Kirton at the Environment Agency inviting him to attend a meeting with residents. The Council would write to the County Council for comments about land drainage following the completion of water testing and the meeting between Peter Kirton and residents.

(ii) Minute SC40 – Day Centres

Following a comment by Councillor Barker, members discussed what other luncheon facilities were available throughout their respective wards.

Councillor Oliver noted that many luncheon facilities were run by charities. He asked whether the possibility of day centres in the district being run by charities had been explored.

Councillor Godwin said two of the day centres had begun to review how they operated and another day centre had begun to look at relocation. In response to the point raised by Councillor Oliver she said the day centres were being given additional funding for one year in order to give them time to become self-sufficient.

SC46 **RESPONSES OF THE EXECUTIVE TO REPORTS OF THE COMMITTEE**

Councillor Godwin said there was a significant problem with car parking throughout the district and a review needed to be undertaken. In response Councillor Rolfe said progress was being made and a car parking review was going to be included on the Cabinet Forward Plan.

SC47 CABINET FORWARD PLAN

Councillor Watson said the Forward Plan had included works on the culvert at Bridge End Gardens for around a year. However, remedial works needed to be completed quickly, as if the condition of the culvert worsened the cost of repair would increase significantly.

The Director of Finance and Corporate Services said talks with Saffron Walden Town Council were ongoing as their permission was needed before works could be completed.

Councillor Evans said there was no longer sufficient parking at the Lower Street car park in Stansted. The Chief Executive said a petition had been received asking the Council to resolve issues with the car park. The Council had dealt with petition.

SC48 SCRUTINY FORWARD PLAN

The Director of Finance and Corporate Services said a review of the work carried out by the Committee during this year would be undertaken at the next meeting. Depending on the decision made by the Committee on the Local Plan Review, the Planning Advisory Service might be invited to attend the next meeting.

SC49 **BUDGET 2015/16**

The Director of Finance and Corporate Services presented a covering report which detailed the individual reports relating to the budget. As the deadline for publishing the agenda for the next Cabinet meeting was the day before the Scrutiny meeting, the Committee's recommendations to Cabinet would be included under "Reports from Performance and Audit and Scrutiny Committees".

SC50 ROBUSTNESS OF ESTIMATES AND ADEQUACY OF RESERVES

The Director of Finance and Corporate Services, explained that in accordance with the requirements of the Local Government Act 2003, he would be reporting to Cabinet in his capacity as Section 151 Officer, on the robustness of estimates and the adequacy of reserves. The aim of the report was to ensure members aware of any risks and uncertainties so the local authority ensured its budget allowed for a prudent level of reserves to be maintained.

The Director of Finance and Corporate Services said the Reserves Strategy outlined the purpose of the reserves, as well as how they were calculated. He drew Members' attention to paragraph 5.2 of the Strategy which showed the reserves at the beginning of the financial year and the forecast for the end of the financial year.

In previous years some reserves had been established without a clear purpose which had led to confusion over their purpose and the total level of reserves held. Furthermore some scope had been identified to utilise around £1m to fund current projects, therefore it was proposed that new reserves would be created as set out in paragraph 5.5.

The Director of Finance and Corporate Services outlined the proposed useable reserves. Both the Medium Term Financial Strategy ("MTFS") and transformation reserves were longer term reserves. A new waste depot site reserve had been established as the Council was looking into the possibility of building a new depot station.

Both the Waste Management and Homelessness reserves had been reduced slightly, as had the Economic Development reserve which members were informed was primarily used for discretionary rate relief.

Both the Strategic Initiatives Fund and Access Fund had been established during the current financial year, with the former set aside for good causes and the latter for the Cycle Strategy.

The Director of Finance and Corporate Services drew Members' attention to the General Fund ring-fenced reserves. He highlighted the Department for Work and Pensions ("DWP") reserve which had been established as the amount of benefit subsidy was being reviewed following the External Audit. The forecasts for total reserves over the next five financial years were highlighted in paragraph 7 of the Strategy.

The Director of Finance and Corporate Services explained the Planning reserve had three primary purposes when it was established, with the main purpose being Stansted Airport Studies.

The Director of Finance and Corporate Services, in response to a question by Councillor Barker explained that there were other authorities which had reserves strategies. He agreed the Strategy was a useful document as it clearly documented the purpose of each reserve and the purpose of reserves in general.

Members agreed the Strategy provided a clear outline of the reserves the Council held and how they were allocated.

RESOLVED to endorse the following recommendations to Cabinet:

- (a) That Cabinet recommends to Full Council that it takes account of the advice in the report when determining the 2015/16 General Fund budget and Council Tax.
- (b) That Cabinet recommends to Full Council that it approves the risk assessment relating to the robustness of estimates as detailed in the report.
- (c) That Cabinet recommends to Full Council that it sets the minimum safe contingency level for 205/16 at £1.214 million.
- (d) That Cabinet recommends to Full Council that it adopts the attached Reserves Strategy.
- (e) That Cabinet recommends to Full Council that no transfers to or from the Working Balance should be built into the 2015/16 budget.

SC51 TEMPORARY ACCOMMODATION

Members received a report on temporary accommodation. The Director of Finance and Corporate Services said it was recommended that several temporary accommodation units currently held within the Housing Revenue Account ("HRA") were transferred to the General Fund.

A debt cap had been imposed on the HRA when it was established in 2012. In the next two financial years the HRA would edge closer to this debt cap which could prevent further building. The transfer of temporary units to the General Fund would allow the Council to progress with additional builds. The transfer would be subject to Secretary of State approval, but legislation already existed to allow the transfer to happen.

Councillor Redfern responded to a question by Councillor Godwin about ongoing developments. She explained that development at Catons Lane had gone out to tender, with completion expected early next year. The development at Mead Court's first phase completion date had been pushed back as there had been difficulties attaching the development to the mains water supply. The Reynolds Court re-development was due to go before the Planning Committee meeting on 11 March. Following questions by Members, the Director of Finance and Corporate Services clarified the General Fund was purchasing HRA stock at market value and that no borrowing was involved. The Council would continue to look at other ways to free up funds for the HRA.

> RESOLVED that Cabinet recommends to Full Council that it approves the transfer of the eight designated temporary accommodation units from the HRA to the General Fund at the estimated market value cost of £1,047,000, subject to Secretary of State.

SC52 MEDIUM TERM FINANCIAL STRATEGY

Members considered the report on the Medium Term Financial Strategy ("MTFS").

The Director of Finance and Corporate Services went through the report in detail. He said the Council was currently in a strong financial position due to prudent financial management and the New Homes Bonus ("NHB"). Although any forecasts could not be certain, it was seen as a reasonable assumption that regardless of the outcome of the General Election there would not be any significant changes to local government funding until 2017/18.

The Director of Finance and Corporate Services went through the assumptions that had been made in the MTFS. Regardless of who formed the next Government, it was highly likely the NHB would be changed significantly or scrapped completely.

The Budget Model had assumed that the Housing Benefits and subsidy would be phased out of the Council's budget in 2016/17. This meant both gross service expenditure and income would decrease. Members' attention was drawn to the outcome of budget modelling and the assumed variances of budget deficits for each year based on 10%, 20% and 30% reductions in the level of NHB. The reduction in NHB meant that attracting extra business rates was more significant than before.

Councillor Rich noted the Council had really benefitted from the NHB. He asked about the potential amount of extra money which might be obtained through business rates.

The Director of Finance and Corporate Services said the Council kept half of all business rates collected above the safety net level, which meant it was possibly a large stream of revenue for the Council.

Councillor Chambers added the approach taken was to try and attract a steady increase in the level of business rates as this was the most prudent approach.

Councillor Howell said he believed an increase in business rates was unlikely to be able to bridge the gap caused by a reduction in NHB. There would be cuts

regardless of the composition or intentions of the next Government and efficiency savings would need to be looked, but stressed these could potentially be made through outsourcing and partnership working.

The Director of Finance and Corporate Services said the MTFS was realistic. As it was not known exactly what the next Government would do it was best to wait until that was known. The Council had looked at outsourcing many times in the past, but it was normally not viable to use external companies due to the relatively small size of the Council.

Councillor Godwin asked whether the Council's reserves should be increased further now, given the challenges that would be faced in the next few years.

Councillor Chambers said levels of reserves were deemed prudent. If the level of reserves was seen as too high, the Council could be seen to be not improving services where it could.

Councillor Godwin proposed the Committee voted for the recommendations as outlined in the report.

Councillor Barker requested a recorded vote.

Upon being put to the vote, the motion was carried by 7 votes to 0 against, with 2 abstentions.

For the proposal: Councillors Barker, Davies, Godwin, Howell, Oliver, Rich and Watson.

Abstentions: Councillors Evans and Morson.

RESOLVED that Cabinet is requested to approve, for recommendation to Full Council, the Medium Term Financial Strategy as attached.

SC53 TREASURY MANAGEMENT STRATEGY 2015/16

Members received a report on the Treasury Management Strategy 2015/16.

The Assistant Director Finance said there were no significant changes from the previous financial year. The credit rating system was being re-branded and therefore banks, including Barclays plc with which the Council banked were likely to have their credit ratings lowered, meaning the Council would have to allow counterparties with credit ratings of BBB+, whereas previously counterparties were expected to have a credit rating of AA- or higher.

Councillor Howell noted the Council had had problems previously after following Government guidance to invest in banks with high rates of return, although he noted Landsbanki also had a high credit rating when the Council used it as a counterparty, and commended the report for its prudent approach. RESOLVED that the following documents are endorsed to Cabinet on 17 February 2015 and Full Council 2015 on 26 February 2015:

- Treasury Management Strategy 2015/16
- Prudential Indicators
- Minimum Revenue Provision Statement
- Economic Forecast

SC54 CAPITAL PROGRAMME 2015/16 – 2019/20

The Assistant Director Finance outlined the report for the Capital Programme 2015/16 – 2019/20. No external borrowing was required to finance the programme, with the exception of the HRA. Capital funding would primarily be achieved through grants and internal borrowing.

The Assistant Director Finance highlighted the key points from the Capital Programme of the General Fund. Renovation works on Saffron Walden Castle were initially expected to be 50% funded by English Heritage. This funding had now been cut and an application for a £200,000 grant had been submitted. The Council was awaiting the outcome of the submission.

A condition survey of the Council's offices on London Road was carried out in 2014/15. The costs associated with the survey's findings had been incorporated into the Capital Programme.

The Council was identifying potential new sites for the waste depot and an estimated cost had included in the programme. There was potential for a capital receipt if the original site was sold, but since no decision had currently been made the receipt had not been built into the programme.

The Assistant Director Finance moved onto the HRA element of the Capital Programme. Phase 1 of the Mead Court development was close to completion, with phase 2 expected to be completed by October 2015. Works on Reynolds Court and Hatherley Court were expected to start at the beginning of and end of 2015/16 respectively.

RESOLVED that Cabinet is requested to approve, for recommendation to Full Council, the Capital Programme and associated financing of the programme as set out in the report.

SC55 HOUSING REVENUE ACCOUNT 2015/16 AND 5 YEAR BUSINESS STRATEGY

Members received a report on the HRA 2015/16 Budget and 5 year Business Plan Strategy.

The Assistant Director Finance said the Housing Board and the Tenants Forum had reviewed the Housing rent options and service charge increases and had recommended the proposals for approval by Cabinet and Full Council. The Housing Board had also reviewed and approved the Housing Revenue Budget and 5 year financial strategy.

The Assistant Director Finance outlined the key points of the report which were as follows:

- (a) The proposed HRA budget and reserves position for 2015/16.
- (b) The proposed 5 year financial forecast for the period from 2015/16 to 2019/20.
- (c) HRA rents to be increased in line with the new Government guidelines of CPI + 1%, an average rent increase of 2.2%.
- (d) Garage rents are increased by RPI of 2.3%.
- (e) Heating, Service and Sewerage charges are increased in line with actual costs.
- (f) Service charges for common services in sheltered schemes continued to be subsidised for tenants at 31 March 2012, who were not in receipt of housing benefit. The subsidy would be reduced by 25% annually.
- (g) Charge for Sheltered support services were increased by RPI of 2.3%.
- (h) Sheltered support services for tenants as at 31 March 2003, who were not eligible for supporting people grant, continued to receive transitional relief protection.
- (i) Lifeline basic charge to be increased by RPI of 2.3%.

The Assistant Director Finance explained the variances within the HRA budget and the movement in reserves for 2014/15 and 2015/16. None of the risks associated with the report were especially high. Appendix E to the report detailed all the works which had been completed since the creation of the HRA.

RESOLVED that Cabinet is requested to approve, for recommendation to Full Council, the HRA Revenue Budget and 5 Year Financial Strategy.

SC56 GENERAL FUND AND COUNCIL TAX 2015/16

The Assistant Director Finance presented a report on the General Fund for the 2015/16 financial year. Cabinet had determined its strategy for the 2015/16 budget on 4 December 2014. The draft budget achieved all of the strategies objectives. The Council had undergone a consultation with the public on the Council's budget priorities. The draft budget was consistent with responses from the public.

The Council had written to all business rate payers and had then invited a number of organisations to comment on the Council's priorities and the Cabinet's budget strategy, however no responses had been received.

The Assistant Director Finance said the net budget had to balance with the Council Tax Requirement. In accordance with Cabinet guidance, a 3% cut in the Council's element of council tax had been assumed. The Council Tax Requirement for 2015/16 was £4,653,312, whereas in 2014/15 it was £4,695,046.

The Assistant Director outlined the Council's council tax for each property band and then summarised the General Fund Budget and key items from the budget. These included funding for someone to assist the development of the management committees of day centres, which had been approved by Cabinet following the previous Committee meeting.

Members would receive a slightly higher allocation of the New Homes Bonus, and because of this it was recommended that new criteria were adopted as outlined in the report. It was noted the new criteria would not be implemented retrospectively.

The Assistant Director Finance explained the staff pay award was confirmed as a 2.2% increase across all services. The Waste Service Budget had been adjusted in order to reflect increased disposal costs and lower income, which equated to an increase in costs of £470,000. Due to the high number of planning applications, planning income was expected to be £350,000 each year for the next two years.

Revenues Administration direct costs had increased by £177,000 as anticipated budget efficiencies had not been realised. This was partly due to the uncertainty over the Universal Credit and the potential impact on the service.

The Assistant Director Finance outlined the Local Government Finance Settlement. For 2015/16 the Settlement Funding Assessment had been cut by 24% to £1,234,355. Business Rates Retention was estimated as £1,303,213, although this figure was subject to a number of variables such as localised business rates. Therefore the Business Rates income was budgeted at safety net level.

The New Homes Bonus ("NHB") now totalled £3,598,299. As formula funding was being cut, the Council was becoming increasingly dependent on the NHB. The Medium Term Financial Strategy ("MTFS") had already outlined ways of reducing the Council's exposure to this risk.

Officers had reviewed fees and charges in line with Council's Pricing and Concessions policy as outlined in appendix E of the report. An error in appendix E was noted. The charge for dealing with rats at domestic premises had increased to £45 in 2015/16 from £25 in 2014/15. This reflected the market value for such a service.

Councillor Morson asked whether the cut in Council Tax was justified given the predicted deficits outlined in the MTFS. There was a need for prudent financial management now as it was predicted that the Council would need its reserves as changes were made to the NHB.

Councillor Chambers said the Council had a policy to give back what it could to the public. Prudent budget management had meant the Council could afford to cut Council Tax. Councillor Howell noted that due to the increased tax base, the actual terms cut in Council Tax revenue was 0.9%. Cutting Council Tax was the right thing to do.

Councillor Barker proposed the recommendations as outlined in the report. He requested a recorded vote.

Upon being put to the vote, the motion was carried by 6 votes to 0 against, with 3 abstentions.

For the proposal: Councillors Barker, Davies, Howell, Oliver, Rich and Watson.

Abstentions: Councillors Evans, Godwin and Morson.

RESOLVED that:

- Cabinet is requested to recommend that Full Council approves the General Fund Council Tax requirement of £4,653,312 summarised in paragraph 24 of the report.
- Cabinet is recommended to approve the schedule of fees and charges in Appendix E of the report.
- Cabinet is recommended to approve the new criteria with regards to Members Allowances (New Homes Bonus) outlined in paragraph 30 of the report.

SC57 LOCAL PLAN REVIEW

Members received a report on the Local Plan review. The Chief Executive said following the closure of the Local Plan examination in December, a request had been received for an inquiry into the local plan process so far. It was recommended the Planning Advisory Service (PAS) undertook the review. The PSA would look at what had happened and what should happen next.

Councillor Morson said he felt the review was a fair way forward and would help to restore community confidence in the Council.

Councillor Howell said he felt the review would help with understanding the Inspector's decision and the reasons why he rejected the Local Plan.

Councillor Oliver said although he agreed with the idea of a review in principle, the recommendation of a review should come from officers not from external bodies. Therefore he could not support the recommendations outlined in the report.

The Chief Executive noted the full decision of the Inspector did not say the Local Plan was without merit. Many elements of the Plan were deemed sound and the Plan's business strategy was deemed exemplary. The PAS review would help achieve closure and help with the formulation of a new plan. The review would be a technical and objective study. It was important the outcome of the report was not assumed.

Councillor Watson said he was not surprised at the Plan's failure. It was important the Committee had control of the review process. It would be useful if the PAS, when attending their first Committee meeting, clarified the process of the review.

Councillor Davies said the review would provide transparency and that could only be seen as a good thing going forwards.

Councillor Rich said he supported the initiative. In response to a question about the cost of the review, he was informed there was no cost associated with the review.

RESOLVED that:

- The Director of Finance and Corporate Services, in conjunction with the Chairman and Vice-Chairman of the Scrutiny Committee, is requested to engage the PAS to undertake the review with the terms of reference as set out in paragraph 10 of the report.
- The PAS is requested to attend the next meeting of this Committee to provide an update and timetable for the written report.

The meeting ended at 10.20pm.

UTTLESFORD DISTRICT COUNCIL FORWARD PLAN

KEY DECISIONS

| Decision | Decision maker | Date of decision | Documents submitted to the decision maker for consideration | Portfolio Holder | Contact officer from where the documents can be obtained |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|---|---------------------|--|
| Housing strategy | Cabinet | September 2015 | | Cllr J Redfern | Roz Millership - Assistant Director Housing and Environmental Services <u>rmillership@uttlesford.gov.uk</u> |
| Procurement – new contract for Waste and recycling | Cabinet | 19 March 2015 | | Cllr Barker | Roger Harborough - Director of Public Services <u>rharborough@uttlesford.gov.uk</u> |
| Development Sites | Cabinet | ongoing | | Cllr J Redfern | Roz Millership - Assistant Director Housing and Environmental Services <u>rmillership@uttlesford.gov.uk</u> |
| Localism Act 2011 Community Empowerment | Cabinet | Ongoing | | Cllr H Rolfe | John Mitchell - Chief Executive jmitchell@uttlesford.gov.uk |

DECISIONS TO BE TAKEN IN PRIVATE

| Decision | Decision maker | Date of decision | Reason for decision to be taken in private | Portfolio Holder | Contact officer from where the documents can be obtained |
|---|-------------------|------------------|--|---------------------|---|
| Procurement – new contract for Waste and recycling | Cabinet | 19 March 2015 | | Cllr Barker | Roger Harborough - Director of Public Services <u>rharborough@uttlesford.gov.uk</u> |

OTHER DECISIONS

| Non-Key Decision | Decision to be taken in private? (reason) | Decision maker | Date of decision | Documents submitted to the decision maker for consideration | Portfolio Holder | Contact officer from where the documents can be obtained |
|--|---|-------------------|------------------|--|---------------------|---|
| Pay Policy | Ν | Cabinet | 19 March 2015 | | Cllr Chambers | Adrian Webb – Director of Finance and Corporate Services <u>awebb@uttlesford.gov.uk</u> |
| Asset Management Plan | Ν | Cabinet | 19 March 2015 | | Cllr Chambers | Adrian Webb – Director of Finance and Corporate Services <u>awebb@uttlesford.gov.uk</u> |
| Discretionary Rate Relief Policy | Ν | Cabinet | 19 March 2015 | Page 18 | Cllr Chambers | Adrian Webb – Director of Finance and Corporate Services |

| | | | | | awebb@uttlesford.gov.uk |
|---|---|---------|---------------|------------------|--|
| Strategic Initiatives Fund | N | Cabinet | 19 March 2015 | Cllr Chambers | Adrian Webb – Director of Finance and Corporate Services <u>awebb@uttlesford.gov.uk</u> |
| Meeting broadcasting | Ν | Cabinet | 19 March 2015 | Cllr Ranger | Adrian Webb – Director of Finance and Corporate Services <u>awebb@uttlesford.gov.uk</u> |
| Climate Change Local Commitment | Ν | Cabinet | 19 March 2015 | Cllr Barker | Andrew Taylor - Assistant Director Planning and Building Control <u>ataylor@uttlesford.gov.uk</u> |
| Conservation Area Appraisal Rickling & Quendon | N | Cabinet | 23 April 2015 | Cllr Barker | Andrew Taylor - Assistant Director Planning and Building Control <u>ataylor@uttlesford.gov.uk</u> |
| Bridge End Garden Culvert | N | Cabinet | On-going | Cllr Chambers | Adrian Webb - Director of Finance and Corporate Services <u>awebb@uttlesford.gov.uk</u> |
| Essex Energy Consortium | Ν | Cabinet | On-going | Cllr Redfern | Roger Harborough - Director of Public Services <u>rharborough@uttlesford.gov.uk</u> |

Work Programme 2014/15

| Date | 24 June 2014 | 2 September 2014 | October 2014 | 25 November 2014 | 10 February 2015 | 24 March 2015 |
|--------------------|--|--|--|--|---|---|
| | Consideration of any decisions called in | Consideration of any decisions called in | Consideration of any decisions called in | Consideration of any decisions called in | Consideration of any decisions called in | Consideration of any decisions called in |
| Standard agenda | Responses of the reports of the scrutiny committee | Responses of the reports of the scrutiny committee | Responses of the reports of the scrutiny committee | Responses of the reports of the scrutiny committee | Responses of the reports of the scrutiny committee | Responses of the reports of the scrutiny committee |
| items | Leaders forward plan | Leaders forward plan | Leaders forward plan | Leaders forward plan | Leaders forward plan | Leaders forward plan |
| | Scrutiny forward plan | Scrutiny forward plan | Scrutiny forward plan | Scrutiny forward plan | Scrutiny forward plan | Scrutiny forward plan |
| | Annual Report from the Leader | Cranwellian | Saffron Walden Museum and Castle Site Development | Budget Process – Preparatory report and briefing. Cabinet Member presentations | Budget – Adrian Webb | Local Plan Review – PAS Update and Timetable. |
| | Saffron Walden Museum and Castle Site Development – Scoping report | Active Uttlesford sports facility provision review – Gaynor Bradley | Rural Broadband Update – Simon Jackson | Day Centres – final report | LDF Review – John Mitchell | 2014/15 Scrutiny Review |
| Agenda items | Day Centres – scope | Day centre review update – Cllr Evans | Tenant Scrutiny Panel update | Cranwellian | | |
| | Review of swimming pool scope to include sport facility provision | Air Quality Review Scope - verbal | | | | |
| | East of England Ambulance Service – Invite questions | NEPT (North Essex Partnership Trust)Public and Mental Health Review Scope - verbal | | | | |
| | Planning review scope – Verbal | | | | | |

| Committee: | Scrutiny | Agenda Item |
|------------|---|-------------------|
| Date: | 24 March 2015 | 9 |
| Title: | Scrutiny Committee 2014/15 Review | Ū |
| Author: | Richard Auty, Assistant Director Corporate Services | Item for decision |

Summary

1. This report provides an overview of topics the Scrutiny Committee investigated 2014/15 and asks committee members to provide their thoughts on what has and has not worked well for the benefit of the new committee post-election.

Recommendations

2. That members draw on their experiences of the last four years to give advice to the new committee.

Financial Implications

3. None.

Background Papers

4. None

Impact

| Communication/Consultation | This report requires members to consider what advice they wish to pass on to the new committee |
|------------------------------------|--|
| Community Safety | None |
| Equalities | None |
| Health and Safety | None |
| Human Rights/Legal Implications | None |
| Sustainability | None |
| Ward-specific impacts | None |
| Workforce/Workplace | None |

Situation

- 5. During 2014/15 the committee scrutinised a number of internal services and service change proposals. These include:
 - a. Saffron Walden Museum and Castle
 - b. Day Centres
 - c. Housing Tenant Scrutiny Panel
 - d. Active Uttlesford Sports Facility Provision
 - e. Rural Broadband
 - f. Local Plan
 - g. 2015/16 Budget proposals: Reserves, Temporary Accommodation, Medium Term Financial Strategy, Treasury Management Strategy, Capital Programme, HRA and 5 year business strategy, General Fund and Council Tax.
- 6. The committee formed a task group to conduct a detailed review of the district's five day centres. The terms of reference included assessing how the centres operate and what services they provide, the use, the location, the building condition and any associated costs. Evidence was gathered through consultation with day centre visitors and each centre's management committees along with visits to the centres and meetings with council officers. The Health and Wellbeing Group was also consulted. Analysis of usage data supplied by the day centres and condition surveys were considered.

The task group made recommendations to the committee and the following recommendations were accepted and forwarded to Cabinet:

- A review of the management agreements to reflect changes in responsibility
- The provision of a fixed-term resource to support and assist the centres with the aim of them becoming self-sufficient.

Cabinet has now considered and approved these recommendations.

- 7. In addition to the day centre task group, the committee also established a task group to review an investigation into complaints:
 - i. To the Local Government Ombudsman about the council's response to reports of unauthorised development at Cranwellian, in Takeley Parish,
 - ii. To the Information Commissioner about the council's response to a request for relevant information.

The terms of reference included finding out what, if anything, went wrong. If something went wrong what, if anything, could be done to remedy it and what, if any, further procedures should be put in place.

The task group reviewed the paperwork and met with residents, ward representatives and officers. The committee resolved to agree with the conclusions set out in the report of the task group, and in addition to:

- Invite the Peter Kirton of the Environment Agency to a meeting with residents
- Arrange water testing
- Write to Essex County Council with regard to land drainage
- 8. Scoping reports were introduced during 2014/15, which are presented at the Scrutiny meeting in advance of the full report. The document outlines the areas to be covered and enables members to ensure the report that follows meets their expectations.
- 9. This is the final meeting of the current Scrutiny Committee. It is likely that there will be a significant change in membership following the election due to several current members not standing for re-election.
- 10. Officers have arranged training for the new committee on the date of the first meeting following the election. This training will be provided by Tim Young, who provided training for the current committee in 2012. Officers and Mr Young believe it would be of great benefit for the new committee to be able to draw on the experiences of the current committee.
- 11. Committee members are therefore requested to consider in advance of the meeting what techniques and approaches they believe have worked well over the last four years and what, if anything, they have found to be less effective.
- 12. A list will be compiled on the night of these "top tips" and incorporated into the training session post-election.

Risk analysis

There are no risks associated with this report.